

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/525,192	JUNKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard Edgar	3745

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Richard Edgar.

(3) ____.

(2) James Zeller.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 11 October 2006

Time: 17:00 EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

28 and 29

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Examiner's amendment. "[E]lement" in claims 28 and 29 should have been presented as -- elevation --. Applicants' representative authorized the examiner to change "element" in claims 28 and 29 to -- elevation --.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)